

Pacific Northwest

# Advancement in waste glass formulation methodology

John D Vienna, Xiaonan Lu, Jose Marcial

September 26, 2023 SumGlass, Nimes, France









- Each glass formulation must simultaneously satisfy a full set of requirements
  - Product quality
  - Processability
  - Cost efficiency





- Iterative glass formulation, testing, composition adjustment
- Time consuming (typically years)
- Can canvas likely composition variation with experiments
- Relatively low risk of glasses failing criteria, high risk of sub optimal solution

4

Process has been successfully implemented for millennia



# **Typical glass formulation process with models**





## **Experimental design methods**

Increasing optimality

Design Type	OCAT	Factorial	Extreme vertices	Space-filling	Autonomous (GPR based)
Graphic	400 (a) 300		Outer Layer Point Layer		2.0 $1.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $2.0$ $1.0$ $0.0$ $2.0$ $1.0$ $0.0$ $2.0$ $1.0$ $0.0$ $2.0$ $1.0$ $0.0$ $2.0$ $1.0$ $0.0$ $2.0$ $0.0$ $2.0$ $0.0$
Pros	<ul> <li>Simple construction</li> <li>Easy to visualize non-linear component effects</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Simple construction</li> <li>Estimates cross- term effects</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Few points per variable</li> <li>Some non-linear effects</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non-linear effects (single components and cross term)</li> <li>Few extreme compositions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non-parametric</li> <li>Find compositional spaces with large uncertainty</li> </ul>
Cons	<ul> <li>No cross-term effects</li> <li>Many points per variable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Many points per variable</li> <li>Linear effects only</li> <li>Extreme compositions</li> </ul>	Challenging to construct extreme compositions	Challenging to construct	High computational costs
	Lu, X, et al. 2023. J.	Am. Ceram. Soc. DOI:10	.1111/jace.19333		6



Pacific Northwest Conductivity Viscosity



ASTM C965









ASTM C1663

VHT



TCLP

SW846-1311





Partial quadratic mixture (PQM) model form:

$$f(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} p_i x_i + \text{Selected} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q} p_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{q} p_{ij} x_i x_j \right\} + e$$

*P* = property,  $p_i = i^{\text{th}}$  term coefficient,  $x_i = i^{\text{th}}$  term mass or mole fraction,  $p_{ii} = i^{\text{th}}$  quadratic term coefficient,  $p_{ij} = i^{\text{th}} - j^{\text{th}}$  cross product term coefficient

- Most property values vary smoothly with composition
- Some properties also vary with temperature (e.g., η, ε) for which common equations are used

Model	Equation		
Arrhenius	$\ln(\eta) = A + \frac{B(\mathbf{x})}{T}$		
Vogel-Fulcher- Tammann	$\ln(\eta) = A + \frac{B(\mathbf{x})}{T - T_0}$		
MYEGA	$\ln(\eta) = A + \frac{B(\mathbf{x})}{T} exp\left(\frac{C}{T}\right)$		



8

Vienna 2014, SumGlass 2013 Proceedings, Proc. Matl. Sci. 7:148-155; Heredia-Langner et al. 2022, IJAGS 13:514-525

**Property models with machine learning** Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

- Many methods developed to predict or categorize data using machine learning
  - E.g., LLR, GPR, ANN, SVM, KNN, DT, RF, GNB. QDA

### **Review articles:**

- De Guire et al. J Am Ceram Soc. 2019;102(11):6385-6406.
- Liu et al. J Non-Cryst Sol. X. 2019;4:100036.
- Montazerian et al. Int Mater Rev. 2020;65(5):297-321.
- Singh et al. Mater Sci Eng B. 2022;284:115858.
- Lu et al. J Am Ceram Soc. 2023:19333



 $^{-10}(a)$ 

-11

-15

-16





Tg [Mastelini et al. 2022]



- Discussion of ML wouldn't be complete without mentioning Cassar's GlassNet
  - An incredible tool that predicts many of the properties important to waste glasses
  - However, it doesn't predict all properties of interest, and isn't currently available under the needed QA

## GlassNet: a multitask deep neural network for predicting many glass properties

Daniel R. Cassar

Ilum School of Science, Brazilian Center for Research in Energy and Materials (CNPEM), Zip Code 13083-970, Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil. daniel.cassar@ilum.cnpem.br

Property	Minimum	Maximum
$T_3$	-	2350
$T_4$		2000
$\log_{10}(\eta(1773 \text{ K}))$		10
$\log_{10}(\eta(1873 \text{ K}))$		10
$\log_{10}(\eta(2073 \text{ K}))$		8
$\log_{10}(\eta(2273 \text{ K}))$		8
Teoft		1600
$V_D$		115
nD		4
n (high)	1.7	3.5
ε		50
$\log_{10}(\tan(\delta))$	-4	-0.796
$T_{\rho=10^6 \Omega.m}$		2000
$\log_{10}(\rho(273 \text{ K}))$		40
$\log_{10}(\rho(373 \text{ K}))$		28
$\log_{10}(\rho(1073 \text{ K}))$	-	4
$\log_{10}(\rho(1273 \text{ K}))$		5
E		175
H		15
v		1
D(293 K)	1	10
ĸ		6
$\log_{10}(\alpha_L(328 \text{ K}))$	-6.5	-
$\log_{10}(\alpha_L(373 \text{ K}))$	-6.5	-
$\log_{10}(\alpha_L(433 \text{ K}))$	-8	-
$\log_{10}(\alpha_L(483 \text{ K}))$	-7	-
$C_{p}(293 \text{ K})$		2000
$C_{p}(473 \text{ K})$	-	2000
$C_{p}(673 \text{ K})$		3000
$C_{p}(1073  \text{K})$	500	2500
$C_{p}(1273 \mathrm{K})$	500	3000
$C_{p}(1473 \mathrm{K})$	500	3000
$C_{p}(1673  \text{K})$	500	2250
$\log_{10}(U_{max})$	-10	-
$\gamma(T > T_g)$		0.8
$\gamma(1473 \text{ K})$	-	0.5
$\gamma(1573 \text{ K})$		0.7



(c) Training R<sup>2</sup>: 0.909 RMSE: 0.231 (d) Testing R<sup>2</sup>: 0.834 RMSE: 0.321 Predicted PCT Na, In(g/m<sup>2</sup>) Predicted PCT Na, In(g/m<sup>2</sup>) • Use mass fraction of glass oxide components for features to train models 0.5 Mass Fraction 7.0 8 -2 -1 Ó -2 -1 0 2 Measured PCT Na, In(g/m<sup>2</sup>) Measured PCT Na, In(g/m<sup>2</sup>) (g) Training (h) Testing 0.1 5.0 R<sup>2</sup>: 0.964 RMSE: 0.109 R<sup>2</sup>: 0.956 RMSE: 0.121 I Т 0.0 (asion) (argument) (ar Predicted Viscosity, In(Poise) Al2O3 B2O3 CaO CI Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Fe2O3 K2O Li₂O MgO Na<sub>2</sub>O P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> SO3 SiO<sub>2</sub> SnO2 TiO2 V2O5 ZnO ZrO2 Others 2.0 -0.5 6 5 Predicted Viscosity, <sup>3.2</sup> 2 -3 ((p. -1.01.5 ln(g/m²) ln(g/m²) In(inch) In(Poise) n(S/cm) In(g/(m<sup>2</sup>· wt% \_4 -1.5 0 2 C 1.0 -2.0 -1 0 -5 0.5 2 -2.5 -6 PCT B PCT Na VHT rate K-3 EC SO3 solubility Viscosity 1.5

2.0 2.5

3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0

Measured Viscosity, In(Poise)

5.5

1.5 2.0

2.5 3.0 3.5

Measured Viscosity, In(Poise)

4.0 4.5 5.0



• Incorporated ML (sklearn) directly into optimization code (Gekko) [Gunnell et al.2023, Processes]

C Edit on GitHub

- Cannot directly handle uncertainties [Marcial et al. 2023, J Haz Mat.]
- Currently developing an analytical solution for process uncertainties





**Machine Learning** 



Gekko specializes in optimization, dynamic simulation, and control. The ML module in GEKKO interfaces compatible machine learning algorithms into the optimization suite to be used for data-based optimization. Trained models from *scikit-learn, gpflow, nonconformist,* and *tensorflow* are imported into Gekko for design optimization, model predictive control, and physics-informed hybrid modeling.

### **Machine Learning Interface models**

These functions allows interfaces of various models into Gekko. They can be found in the ML subpackage of gekko, imported like so:

### from gekko import ML

Model = ML.Gekko\_GPR(model,Gekko\_Model,modelType='sklearn',fixedKernel=True)

Convert a gaussian process model from sklearn into the Gekko package.





## **Quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR)**



Du et al. 2021. J. Am. Ceram. Soc, 104(9):4445-4458.



## Model terms/features

- Compositions
- □ Structural features/descriptors
  - o Theoretical calculations
    - Boron coordination (N<sub>4</sub>)
    - Non bridging oxygen (NBO)
    - Network connectivity
  - o Experimental characterizations
    - NMR
    - XANES and EXAFS
    - Neutron diffraction/scattering
  - Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations
  - Topological constraint theory (TCT)
  - o QSPR (F<sub>net</sub>)

Experimental conditions

- Thermal history
- Pressure
- pH and temperature







Topological constraints [Mascaraque et al. 2017]



Structure features



Experimental conditions [Thorpe et al. 2021] <sup>14</sup>

## Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Initial dissolution rate models with MD structural features



Lu et al. 2023. J Am Ceram Soc. 106:1025-1036



- The authors would like to thank several at PNNL for help with data collection, database maintenance, property modeling, machine learning, and other support. The names are too numerous to mention.
- We greatly appreciate financial support from the Department of Energy Office of River Protection, Waste Treatment Plant Project. With special thanks to Dr. Albert Kruger for support and technical oversight.
- We are also indebted to many colleagues who have helped bring the glass optimization process forward including those from UNT, WSU, SRNL, CUA, VNS, CEA, SHU, SU, BYU, CRIEPI, Rutgers, Alfred, and NNL.
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated for the Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under contract DE-AC05-76RL01830.



Pacific Northwest

# Thank you





Pacific Northwest

## **Nuclear waste glass optimization**



Partial quadratic mixture (**PQM**) model form:  $f(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_i g_i + \text{ Selected } \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_{ii} g_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{ij} g_i g_j \right\} + e$ 



Marcial et al. (2023). *J Haz Matl*. 132437 Lu et al. (2021). *Nucl Eng Des.*, *385*:111543.



Property	Example Constraints
PCT-B	≤ 2 g/m²
PCT-Na	≤ 2 g/m²
VHT	≤ 50 g/m²/d
٤ <sub>1150</sub>	$0.12 \le \epsilon_{1150} \le 0.59$ S/cm
η <sub>1150</sub>	$20 \le \eta_{1150} \le 80$ Poise
SO <sub>3</sub> tolerance (wt%)	$SO_3$ in melter feed $\leq$ melter $SO_3$ tolerance

- Developed a Python programing code to run glass optimization routine with the GPR models.
- Obtained comparable results (waste loadings) as using the traditional PQM property models.
- Glass optimization routine can learn from new data as generated, as well as update interpolation method conveniently.
- Be able to demonstrate the possibility of using ML models with prediction uncertainties in waste glass formulation.





## **Challenges and outlook**

### □ Machine learning

- o Standard protocols and benchmark models
- Uncertainty quantification
- Develop composition-structure-property models

### Optimization

- Capacity issue of Python optimization packages with ML packages
- Utilize HPC



(a) Gaussian Uncertainty Gunnell et al. (2022). *Processes*, *10*(11), 2365.



#### Docs » Machine Learning



O Edit on GitHub

### **Machine Learning**

Gekko specializes in dynamic optimization and control. The ML module implemented in GEKKO interfaces compatible machine learning algorithms into the optimization suite to be used for data based optimization. Trained models can be imported into Gekko and then used to solve optimization problems.

### Machine Learning Interface models

These functions allows interfaces of various models into Gekko.

### Model = ML.Gekko\_GPR(model,Gekko\_Model,modelType = 'sklearn',fixedKernel=True)

This line converts a gaussian process model from an outside library into the Gekko package.

The first argument is the trained gaussian model, either from sklearn's GaussianProcessRegressor or a model from gpflow. Custom kernels are not implemented; but all kernels in sklearn and combinations of them are.

The second argument, Gekko\_Model, is the model created by GEKKO().

The third argument is the modeltype; sklearn indicates that the model is from sklearn. For any other models, it will assume it to be from gpflow. If it is not sklearn, it will convert it from gpflow to sklearn.

The fourth argument fixedKernel, affects the conversion from gpflow to sklearn. if it is set to true, then the kernel hyperparameters are set to fixed; otherwise, it will allow the hyperparameters to be changed during training.



## **Experimental validation of LAW ALG glasses**

